

Hansard

Ms STINSON (Badcoe) (15:24):

8 April 2020

I think it is pretty obvious that they do not want to just examine the closure: they want to actually close the school. This news came as a huge shock. It was very sudden information for the school community, and certainly the impacts of it are still sinking in.

Those people who are part of the Friends of Springbank Secondary College, the Save Springbank campaign, the Mitcham council and indeed those on our side, are actively advocating for the Springbank Secondary College to remain open, for a shared school zone to be introduced giving parents choice about where they send their students and, also, for the \$10 million—which was invested under the previous Labor government—to be delivered at that school.

We have seen expressions of support from the member for Elder and from the Minister for Education for this school over the last two years, particularly praising the significant change in progress of this school two years into a five-year transformation plan. But, of course, those words ring pretty hollow right now when the plan is to shut the school entirely.

Hansard

The Hon. T.A. FRANKS (17:41)

8 April 2020

When it has come to the issue of Springbank Secondary College there have been lies, damned lies and government statistics. This school was told of this review, not actually by press release as the Hon. Frank Pangallo noted, but by a press conference held at their school. They woke up to see on the front page of the newspaper that this review had been announced, having microphones shoved into the face of children at the school, creating great anxiety, creating great distress and citing some figures which have just been repeated here today about a lack of choice for those in the zone.

cites supposedly lessening enrolments, is that Springbank has been growing. I will draw members' attention to the article by Caleb Bond in the East and Hills weekly *Messenger* back in 2018 in October. Springbank has been springing back. It has had a very difficult past but, after that voluntary vote, they had rebranded and they were in fact growing. That article of October 2018 highlighted the fact that the school was set to offer two year 8 classes in the next year, after offering only a single year 8 class the year before and a combined year 8/9 class the year before that.

what has been ignored time and time again is that the school is actually growing and that two-thirds of the students currently at the Springbank Secondary College do not come from the zone. Two-thirds—two in every three students at this school—choose to come from elsewhere.

Do you know why they choose that? Because the choices they are offered locally have failed them. This is in fact a school that is small by design, it is not one size fits all, and many parents and children are choosing Springbank. Two-thirds do not come from the Springbank zone. Two-thirds actually want Springbank to be there.

the now Treasurer, then education minister, shut down schools en masse the last time he was in government,

Despite the hurdles being put in its way, I believe that Springbank should spring back. I believe that the government has got this wrong. If they look at their words in opposition and stay true to them and be honest with the South Australian public about their intentions here, I think they will go back and come clean with the community about the fact that they have got this wrong.

This review has created anxiety and distress right from the get-go, from the way the minister announced it to the way that it has been rolled out. It was without detail at first and now with detail that basically offers students new uniforms, incorporates only the options for a merger with Unley and does not talk about a shared zone, which is what the Springbank Secondary College community has called for.

The review does not acknowledge that we are in COVID times right now and the community cannot even gather to ensure that their voice is heard, nor does it acknowledge the anxiety that all children in this state are facing right now with regard to their schooling is not just doubled but probably tripled by the Springbank kids and the potential Springbank kids who do not even know if their school—their beloved

school, the school that two-thirds of them chose even though they do not live in the zone—will be gone and that choice taken away by the Marshall Liberal government.

It is an indefensible position that the Marshall Liberal government brings, and it is a position that seems to speak of some sort of idea that Unley High fits all. Unley is a very fine school and it does have a fine alumni. I would note on that, however, that the Hon. Dennis Hood and I share a high school alumni that perhaps has not had quite as many premiers, but Parafield Gardens High School did indeed produce at least two members of this current parliament.

Springbank is a very strong community. Springbank Secondary College has had to suffer a voluntary vote, years and years of distress and anxiety, coupled with this slap in the face in the way they are being treated now by the Marshall Liberal government.

Choice is more than curriculum and choice is more than just rewarding those high achievers who will do well no matter what school they go to. Choice is about giving every child in this state a range of options, where it does not matter that they are a little bit odd or a little bit unusual or that they may have diagnoses. Choice is having a range of options to choose from, where if they are bullied at one school they still have another option like Springbank to go to.

I note one child in particular, who I mentioned in my previous contribution. She was failing at her previous school. She was being bullied and here at Springbank she is now on the SRC and I see joy in her face. There were so many children like that in that room that the minister should have come and talked to that night, rather than do his press conference a few weeks prior on that morning.

The Marshall government has got this wrong. Choice is more to these children than just whether or not they can do a particular subject. Choice is whether or not they will survive at school. Where many kids will thrive at Unley, not all kids will. They may have that uniform that the government will fund for them, but if they never actually make it through the school gates at Unley, or if when they do they are completely isolated even beyond this pandemic, then what choice have you given them at all?

This school is small; it is the little school that could.

This smacks of declare and defend. It is not a consultation and a community-based approach. It is not what the Marshall government promised in terms of transparency and trust for the community and listening to their voices. It is setting the school up to fail, and it is an absolute outrage that the \$10 million has not been allocated as it should have been and spent to upgrade this school so far.

Not all in the community are going without a fight. I draw members' attention to the words of Yvonne Todd, who is an elected councillor for the Babbage ward of Mitcham council, who has stated publicly that she feels strongly that:

...all talk of a review of Springbank secondary college should stop and let the school community and teachers focus on helping students get through the COVID pandemic and to provide a sense of certainty about the future. Springbank Secondary College has been under fire from the Minister of Education...

I hope the minister will listen. It is the little school that could. They are small in number, but the numbers that have been used to reflect this school by the Minister for Education have been misleading at best and mischievous at worst.

The Marshall government needs to come clean as to what their intentions are with this school, why they felt a review process was necessary in the first place, why no terms of reference were produced up-front for this review process and why the students, the staff, the principal and the parents of this school read about it on the front page of *The Advertiser* before they were even told and given that due respect.

The ability for Springbank to attract those students has been utterly damaged by the minister's media escapades, and this school is not being given the chance to thrive that the member for Elder, indeed the member for Black and many others in the Marshall government in opposition said it needed.

I hope in government they will hold true to their words and their promises to this small community whose school is small by design and gives an alternative option to that very student—and so many like her—who did not fit into other high schools, who was struggling, who was not thriving, but who in Springbank, in a small by design school, in the little school that could, is indeed a member of the SRC. She had a beaming smile on her face, and she looks forward to a bright future. If she is sent back to Unley where she was bullied, where she was ostracised, then the minister needs to have at least the guts to tell her to her face.

HANSARD

8 April 2020

The Hon. T.A. FRANKS (16:51):

I move:

That this council—

1. Notes that in 2016 the then Pasadena High School resolved by a voluntary vote process to remain open and not merge;
2. Applauds the rebranded Springbank Secondary College for its ambition to be a progressive, forward-thinking school with a focus on STEAM (science, technology, engineering, the arts and mathematics), with a disability unit, basketball academy and trade training centre that provides students with a wide range of specialised opportunities in a school that is small by design;
3. Condemns both the withholding of an allocated \$10 million and the recent announcement of a review process that both serve to undermine public confidence in the school's future;
4. Acknowledges that this review has no stated purpose, was announced to media before it was communicated to the school community and that has placed undue anxiety and stress on current and prospective Springbank Secondary College students, families and staff; and
5. Calls on the Marshall Liberal government to abandon this review into the Springbank Secondary College and release the \$10 million to sustain and support the school and its community to thrive.

DTF:Treasurer

From: Elder EO <Elder@parliament.sa.gov.au>
Sent: Thursday, 11 June 2020 5:47 PM
To: DTF:Treasurer
Subject: Letter from Carolyn Power MP
Attachments: Carolyn Boyd Submission.pdf; 200513_Letter to Treasurer.pdf

Dear Treasurer

Please find attached a letter for your attention.

I look forward to your response in due course.

Kind regards

Carolyn Power MP
Member for Elder



CarolynPower MP
MEMBER FOR ELDER

Here for you

P: 08 8374 1939
E: elder@parliament.sa.gov.au
W: carolynpower.com.au
2/212 Belair Road, Hawthorn SA 5062
PO Box 316 Mitcham Shopping Centre SA 5062

The information in this e-mail may be confidential and/or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, access to it is unauthorised and any disclosure, copying, distribution or action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on it is prohibited and may be unlawful.

Danielle Duffield Spokesperson
Friends of Springbank Secondary College
savespringbanksecondarycollege@gmail.com
0412 223 168

The Hon Carolyn Power MP
Member for Elder
elder@parliament.sa.gov.au

5th May 2020

Dear Carolyn,

I write on behalf of the school community group, the Friends of Springbank Secondary College, in relation to the recent Department of Education SA Review into our school and your subsequent survey to local constituents.

We were pleased at your attendance at both our Community meeting on the 12th March 2020 and the Springbank Secondary College Governing Council meeting on 17th of March 2020. We were encouraged by your offers of support, however, disappointed that you didn't offer formal support for the school in spite of your 26 March 2019 Speech in Parliament.

Less than 12 months after you and your Minister came to our school, taking advantage of photo opportunities, demonstrating your support for a renewal process, we see your lack of formal support of our school community an indication of your true loyalty. How are we meant to trust that you are working in the best interests of your electorate rather than to some other agenda? Purporting to seek feedback is not formal support.

To then receive your survey postcard in our post boxes, claiming the reason behind the review was the "continuing low student enrolments" was extraordinarily misleading. It completely ignores the trajectory of growth in actual numbers and being the only piece of contextual information on the postcard was a slap in the face for the community, arts business and sporting relationships the school has developed. For student numbers to grow from 107 in 2017 to 203 in 2020, after the threat of closure in 2016, indicates that our community, not just in the electorate of Elder but across the metropolitan area, believes in the educational excellence of Springbank Secondary College. The Review and your subsequent survey, sabotages current work being done to continue such growth.

The survey questions revealed more about your intent for the school than an attempt to elicit information from constituents. How exactly does your leading statement "the review will consider closing Springbank Secondary College and zoning this local area to Unley High School," indicate your "standing" with our community? To have the review so blatantly thrown in the face of the school community in March by your minister then circulating a disingenuous "consultative" postcard to constituents is at best an insult to

the intelligence of the school community and an abrogation of your duty of care to the students, including disability students who, should the school close, will have nowhere else to go.

Your survey ignores the interests of local constituents surrounding the school, given having local public high school, or public asset in our area, attracts long term investment into the locality enhancing relationships across the community.

We believe that the highlight of your tenure should be more than the closing of a Secondary College in the midst of a renewal that only 12 months prior you seemingly celebrated with us. As you will be aware unjustly closing a community resource is not welcomed and will not be rewarded as was made clear when the former government attempted to close The Repat.

We feel betrayed by you distributing such an appalling survey to our community. We waited patiently for you to demonstrate genuine support for the school. Just when our community needs advocacy in the face of an outrageous attack on our young people, and our choice as parents to put the needs of our kids first, you claim impartiality. This is not an issue for fence sitting. It is imperative that you make your position for or against retention of the school crystal clear.

To quote the Nobel Laureate, theologian and activist, Archbishop Desmond Tutu,

“If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor.”

Our group is not fooled by this piece of propaganda and will not only campaign to give your constituents and those of Unley High School accurate information but will make your treatment of our young people an election issue, should your “neutrality” continue.

Yours Sincerely,

Danielle Duffield
Friends of Springbank Secondary College
savespringbanksecondarycollege@gmail.com

DTF:Treasurer

From: Danielle Duffield <savespringbanksecondarycollege@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, 5 May 2020 5:20 PM
To: elder@parliament.sa.gov.au
Cc: DPC:Premier; AGD:Attorney-General; DTF:Treasurer; Education:Minister Gardner; DTI:Office of Minister Ridgway; DHS:Minister for Human Services; DIS:Office of Minister Pisoni; Health:Minister for Health; DEM:Minister Dan van Holst Pellekaan; DCP:Minister; PIRSA:Minister Whetstone; AGD:Minister Wingard; DEW:Minister Speirs; DPTI:Minister Knoll
Subject: Correspondence attached from Friends of Springbank Secondary College
Attachments: FOSSC Carolyn Power Letter May 2020.pdf

Please see letter attached for The Hon Carolyn Power MP member for Elder and Cabinet Ministers for their information.

DTF:Treasurer

From: Wilson, Claire (DTF)
Sent: Tuesday, 16 June 2020 10:53 AM
To: DTF:Treasurer
Subject: RE: New petition to you: Save Springbank Secondary College - a small inclusive school designed by students & staff

Morning

Please register as non-portfolio and move to the relevant MLO.

Thanks

Claire

From: DTF:Treasurer
Sent: Wednesday, 10 June 2020 12:43 PM
To: Wilson, Claire (DTF) <Claire.Wilson4@sa.gov.au>
Subject: FW: New petition to you: Save Springbank Secondary College - a small inclusive school designed by students & staff

Would you like this indexed?

Thanks
Toni

From: Friends of Springbank Secondary College via Change.org [<mailto:change@e.change.org>]
Sent: Wednesday, 10 June 2020 10:23 AM
To: DTF:Treasurer <treasurer.dtf@sa.gov.au>
Subject: New petition to you: Save Springbank Secondary College - a small inclusive school designed by students & staff

change.org

Rob Lucas MP: you've been listed as a decision maker

Friends of Springbank Secondary College started a petition on Change.org and listed you as a decision maker. Learn more about

Friends of Springbank Secondary College's petition and how you can respond:



Friends of Springbank Secondary College is petitioning Rob Lucas MP

Save Springbank Secondary College - a small inclusive school designed by students & staff

The South Australian Government is considering closing Springbank Secondary College. The school was relaunched just a year ago after staff and students worked together to redesign its focus as a small school by design. Springbank has been promised a...

[View the petition](#)

WHAT YOU CAN DO

1. View the petition : [Learn about the petition and its supporters.](#)

You will receive updates as new supporters sign the petition so you can see who is signing and why.

2. Respond to the petition: [Post a response](#) to let the petition supporters know you're listening, say whether you agree with their call to action, or ask them for more information.

3. Continue the dialogue: Read the comments posted by petition supporters and continue the dialogue so that others can see

you're an engaged leader who is willing to participate in open discussion.

CHANGE.ORG FOR DECISION MAKERS

On Change.org, decision makers like you connect directly with people around the world to resolve issues. [Learn more](#).

This notification was sent to treasurer.dtf@sa.gov.au, the address listed as the decision maker.

[Privacy policy](#)

We'd love to hear from you! [Contact us](#) through our help centre.

Change.org · 548 Market St #29993, San Francisco, CA 94104-5401, USA

Tonkin, Kate (DTF)

From: Tonkin, Kate (DTF)
Sent: Friday, 5 June 2020 1:21 PM
To: Education:Minister Gardner
Cc: Virgara, Elizabeth (Education)
Subject: QWN - 24 - Springbank Secondary College
Attachments: 04-06-20 - QT - Springbank Secondary College.docx; PARL-QWN54-2-024-20-LC - Springbank Secondary College.docx

Good afternoon

During yesterday's Parliamentary Sitting the Treasurer was questioned by Hon Tammy Franks MLC about Springbank Secondary College.

Please find attached template and *Hansard* extract for a response to be prepared and provided back to our office by 19 June 2020 for the Treasurer's consideration.

Thank you in advance.

Kate Tonkin

Parliamentary Liaison Officer to the
Hon Rob Lucas MLC
Treasurer

Phone: 8204 1496
Department of Treasury & Finance
Level 8, 200 Victoria Square | ADELAIDE SA 5000



Information contained in this e-mail message may be confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional privilege or public interest immunity. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this document is unauthorised.

**QUESTION WITHOUT NOTICE
LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL**

SPRINGBANK SECONDARY COLLEGE

In reply to **The Hon Tammy Franks MLC** (4 June 2020):

1. Does the minister acknowledge that in fact that report from the Springbank review committee is not required by the act to be tabled in parliament unless, of course, the minister intends to act in contravention of the recommendations of a review committee and proceed with the closure or amalgamation against their recommendations?
2. Does the minister understand and respect that he only has the power to close or amalgamate a school if he has fully followed the provisions of the act to the letter?
3. Finally, given the minister has already flagged his intention to table a report to the parliament within three days of receiving it, has the minister actually followed all the relevant provisions of the act to the letter of, specifically, part 2A, or does he intend to go through with this process regardless of the law?

The Hon Rob Lucas MLC "I have been provided the following advice:

Answer"

Hon Rob Lucas MLC
Treasurer

June 2020



Extract from the **LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL** *Hansard* of 4 June 2020
Confidential and subject to revision

Page 962

SPRINGBANK SECONDARY COLLEGE

The Hon. T.A. FRANKS (14:43): I seek leave to make a brief explanation before addressing a question to the minister representing the Minister for Education on the topic of ministerial powers to close or amalgamate any school but specifically the Springbank Secondary College.

Leave granted.

The Hon. T.A. FRANKS: As you would aware, the minister does not have the power under his own volition to close or amalgamate a South Australian state school, unless of course a prescribed process of either a voluntary vote or a review, as set out under the act, is followed and strictly adhered to. In correspondence to a concerned parent of a child studying at Springbank Secondary College, the Minister for Education this past week has informed that parent that:

The Education Act 1972 legislates the terms of a review process as part of the process, the Review Committee will provide me with a report and their recommendations...

The minister goes on to say:

Within three parliamentary sitting days of informing the school, I must table in the SA parliament a copy of the report, the recommendations and reasons for making the decision.

1. Does the minister acknowledge that in fact that report from the Springbank review committee is not required by the act to be tabled in parliament unless, of course, the minister intends to act in contravention of the recommendations of a review committee and proceed with the closure or amalgamation against their recommendations?
2. Does the minister understand and respect that he only has the power to close or amalgamate a school if he has fully followed the provisions of the act to the letter?
3. Finally, given the minister has already flagged his intention to table a report to the parliament within three days of receiving it, has the minister actually followed all the relevant provisions of the act to the letter of, specifically, part 2A, or does he intend to go through with this process regardless of the law?

The Hon. R.I. LUCAS (Treasurer) (14:45): I am happy to refer the honourable member's question to my colleague, but knowing my colleague and how meticulous, assiduous and exceptional he is in handling his portfolio, I am sure he is following everything that he is required to do by the law of the land.

The Hon. T.A. FRANKS (14:46): Supplementary: given the assurance that meticulous following of the act has been undertaken, if the act has not been followed to the letter will the government abandon this review?

The Hon. R.I. LUCAS (Treasurer) (14:46): I am not sure that that is actually supplementary to my answer. My answer was that I will refer the honourable member's question to the appropriate minister, the Minister for Education, and I said some very kind words about the minister. The answer will come from the minister.

The Hon. K.J. MAHER: Point of order: is it in order for other members to make rulings about what is in order or not?

The PRESIDENT: Your point of order is well made. No, it is not, but I am sure the Treasurer meant no offence, and I am pleased to have your support. The honourable Deputy Leader of the Opposition.

Members interjecting:

The PRESIDENT: Order!

Tonkin, Kate (DTF)

From: Ministerial Offices <no-reply@sharepointonline.com>
Sent: Friday, 5 June 2020 1:46 PM
To: Tonkin, Kate (DTF)
Subject: Questions on Notice - Springbank Secondary College

📁 Springbank Secondary College has been added



Tonkin, Kate (DTF)

5/06/2020 1:45 PM

Title: Springbank Secondary College

Date Asked: 4/06/2020

Answer Due: 4/07/2020

House: Legislative Council

Question Type: Question Without Notice

Question Number:

Objective ID: PARL-QWN54/2/024/20/LC

Asked by:

Asked of:

Minister Responsible:

Status: Received

Date Tabled:

- Question Text:**
1. Does the minister acknowledge that in fact that report from the Springbank review committee is not required by the act to be tabled in parliament unless, of course, the minister intends to act in contravention of the recommendations of a review committee and proceed with the closure or amalgamation against their recommendations?
 2. Does the minister understand and respect that he only has the power to close or amalgamate a school if he has fully followed the provisions of the act to the letter?
 3. Finally, given the minister has already flagged his intention to table a report to the parliament within three days of receiving it, has the minister actually followed all the relevant provisions of the act to the letter of, specifically, part 2A, or does he intend to go through with this process regardless of the law?

Response:

Progress Notes:

RELEASE IN PART

Davies, Shaun (DTF)

From: Davies, Shaun (DTF)
Sent: Tuesday, 19 May 2020 1:51 PM
To: Education:Minister Gardner
Subject: RE: letter to Education.SouthMetroReview@sa.gov.au; and ministers of the SA government [TRS20D1443] [DLM=For-Official-Use-Only]

Thanks Karen.

Kind regards

Shaun

From: Education:Minister Gardner <minister.gardner@sa.gov.au>
Sent: Monday, 18 May 2020 11:58 AM
To: Davies, Shaun (DTF) <Shaun.Davies@sa.gov.au>
Subject: RE: letter to Education.SouthMetroReview@sa.gov.au; and ministers of the SA government [TRS20D1443] [DLM=For-Official-Use-Only]

For Official Use Only

Hi Shaun

Our office is processing the correspondence and a response will be sent in due course.

Thanks

Kind regards

Karen

Office of the Hon John Gardner MP
Minister for Education
Level 9, 31 Flinders Street, Adelaide SA 5000
t (08) 8226 1205 e minister.gardner@sa.gov.au w www.decd.sa.gov.au



Proudly accredited
by White Ribbon



Government of South Australia
Department for Education

This email may contain confidential information, which also may be legally privileged. Only the intended recipient(s) may access, use, distribute or copy this email. If this email is received in error, please inform the sender by return email and delete the original. If there are doubts about the validity of this message, please contact the sender by telephone. It is the recipient's responsibility to check the email and any attached files for viruses.

From: Davies, Shaun (DTF) <Shaun.Davies@sa.gov.au>
Sent: Friday, 15 May 2020 3:50 PM
To: Education:Minister Gardner <minister.gardner@sa.gov.au>
Subject: FW: letter to Education.SouthMetroReview@sa.gov.au; and ministers of the SA government [TRS20D1443]

Good afternoon

I refer to the below email, which was also sent to your office.

For the purposes of our acknowledgement letter, could someone please confirm whether Minister Gardner intends to reply to [REDACTED]? Or, if that is not yet known, should we simply advise [REDACTED] that the Minister is considering the correspondence?

Kind regards

Shaun Davies

A/Ministerial Liaison Officer

Office of the Treasurer

The Hon Rob Lucas MLC

Level 8 | 200 Victoria Square ADELAIDE SA 5000

t 820 41492 e shaun.davies@sa.gov.au

Information contained in this e-mail message may be confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional privilege or public interest immunity. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this document is unauthorised.



Government of South Australia

**Department of Treasury
and Finance**

Sent: Wednesday, 13 May 2020 4:19 PM

To: DPC:Premier <premier@sa.gov.au>; AGD:Attorney-General <AttorneyGeneral@sa.gov.au>; DTF:Treasurer <treasurer.dtf@sa.gov.au>; Education:Minister Gardner <minister.gardner@sa.gov.au>; DTI:Office of Minister Ridgway <OfficeofMinisterRidgway@sa.gov.au>; DHS:Minister for Human Services <DHSMinisterforHumanServices@sa.gov.au>; DIS:Office of Minister Pisoni <OfficeOfMinisterPisoni@sa.gov.au>; Health:Minister for Health <ministerforhealth@sa.gov.au>; DEM:Minister Dan van Holst Pellekaan <Dem.MinisterVHP@sa.gov.au>; DCP:Minister <Minister.Sanderson@sa.gov.au>; PIRSA:Minister Whetstone <Minister.Whetstone@sa.gov.au>; AGD:Minister Wingard <MinisterWingard@sa.gov.au>; DEW:Minister Speirs <Minister.Speirs@sa.gov.au>; DPTI:Minister Knoll <MinisterKnoll@sa.gov.au>; Education:South Metro Review <Education.SouthMetroReview@sa.gov.au>

Cc: savespringbanksecondarycollege@gmail.com

Subject: letter to Education.SouthMetroReview@sa.gov.au; and ministers of the SA government

I have written to the Minister of Education sometime ago when the first news came up about the possible closure of Springbank Secondary College. However, I am disappointed to say that he has not replied at all; quite a disappointment- particularly when he had been quite willing to be contacted after I wrote to him previously about Brighton Secondary not willing to take students [REDACTED]

Now we have a review to see what the picture is for Springbank. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] thanks to the hard work and commitment of the principal and her staff.

[REDACTED] at the whim of select members of the department: the school need some time and support to put into place its plan for revamped infrastructure and curriculum design; and then review it after 5 years; [REDACTED] I know how long it takes to get these plans progressing.

It would seem that a lot of this action came from the cries of the parents who would not be able to place their year 7s in 2021 into Adelaide High School because of the rezoning; Springbank became the poor relation in this issue. One of the considerations in this could be for the school to stay open and the Department to declare a shared zone with Unley High School.

[REDACTED] also have travel issues which at the moment are well catered for; we wonder if this will continue successfully if the students are sent to some other region? For the sake of both the educational and social outcomes for these students, including the mainstream, and their families, I hope you will reconsider this review and its possible outcome.

A pandemic has been enough to bear; give some thought to the physical and mental anguish this review process is causing to the students of Springbank Secondary ; and their families!



Davies, Shaun (DTF)

From: Davies, Shaun (DTF)
Sent: Friday, 22 May 2020 10:23 AM
To: Education:Minister Gardner
Subject: RE: Springbank Secondary College (possible referral) [DLM=For-Official-Use-Only]

Thanks Karen.

Kind regards

Shaun

From: Education:Minister Gardner <minister.gardner@sa.gov.au>
Sent: Thursday, 21 May 2020 11:12 AM
To: Davies, Shaun (DTF) <Shaun.Davies@sa.gov.au>
Subject: RE: Springbank Secondary College (possible referral) [DLM=For-Official-Use-Only]

For Official Use Only

Hi Shaun

We are happy to accept this referral. Please formally refer when possible.

Thanks

Karen

Office of the Hon John Gardner MP
Minister for Education
Level 9, 31 Flinders Street, Adelaide SA 5000
t (08) 8226 1205 e minister.gardner@sa.gov.au w www.decd.sa.gov.au



Proudly accredited
by White Ribbon



Government of South Australia
Department for Education

This email may contain confidential information, which also may be legally privileged. Only the intended recipient(s) may access, use, distribute or copy this email. If this email is received in error, please inform the sender by return email and delete the original. If there are doubts about the validity of this message, please contact the sender by telephone. It is the recipient's responsibility to check the email and any attached files for viruses.

From: Davies, Shaun (DTF) <Shaun.Davies@sa.gov.au>
Sent: Thursday, 21 May 2020 10:43 AM
To: Education:Minister Gardner <minister.gardner@sa.gov.au>
Subject: FW: Springbank Secondary College (possible referral)

Good morning

I refer to the below email from [REDACTED] regarding Springbank Secondary College.

If your office hasn't already received the same correspondence, may I refer it for consideration?

Kind regards

Shaun Davies

A/Ministerial Liaison Officer

Office of the Treasurer

The Hon Rob Lucas MLC

Level 8 | 200 Victoria Square ADELAIDE SA 5000

t 820 41492 e shaun.davies@sa.gov.au

Information contained in this e-mail message may be confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional privilege or public interest immunity. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this document is unauthorised.



Government of South Australia

**Department of Treasury
and Finance**

